WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SEB LANSING LANDFUL

ime:_	: 9.16 Weather Conditions: - Cloud, cool						
		. Yes	No		Notes		
CRI	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84	: 9					
1-	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the	:			•		
-	sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?			1			
2.	Were conditions observed within the cells						
	containing CCR or within the general landfill		<i> i/</i>	1			
	operations that represent a potential disruption		-	ĺ			
	to ongoing CCR management operations?						
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or						
	within the general landfill operations that	•	,				
	represent a potential disruption of the safety of			1			
	the CCR management operations.		}				
	<u> </u>		l	<u> </u>			
CR F	ngitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4	9)	,				
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting			1 .			
	period? If answer is no, no additional		<i>[</i>				
	information required						
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust						
	suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?		•	ĺ			
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR						
	conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to			ł	•		
	landfill working face, or was the CCR not						
	susceptable to fugitive dust generation?	1					
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	landfill access roads?				•		
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the		<u>-</u>				
	landfill? If the answer is yes, describe	j		-			
	corrective action measures below.	`			-		
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control						
	measures effective? If the answer is no,		1				
	describe recommended changes below.		1				
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen				·		
	complaints received during the reporting						
	period? If the answer is yes, answer question						
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?			-			
	1 are are critical combiantic to a set 1		ļ				

Q:\Waste Connections\Lansing\CCR Plan Final\Weekly Inspection Form 10_2015 xlsx

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SKELLANSING LANDFILL

	© 12'45 Weather Conditions:			T	
		. Yes	No	1.	Notes
CRI	Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR 5257.8	34)			
1.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing	-			
•	CCR? .		1 1	7'	
2.	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?				
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.	i .	v		
CRF	ugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)	(4))			
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.				
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?		-		
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,
7_	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?			<u></u>	-
8-	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.		•	-	-
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.				
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	-			
	Were the citizen complaints logged?				

Q:\Waste Connections\Lansing\CCR Plan Final\Weekly Inspection Form 10_2015-xlsx

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT

SER LANSING LANDFILL Inspector. Weather Conditions: Yes No Notes CCR Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84) Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR? Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations? Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations. CCR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4)) Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required. 5. Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresents) prior to delivery to landfill? 6_ If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation? 7. Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads? 8. Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below. Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below. IO. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question 11. Were the citizen complaints logged? Additional Notes:

Q:\Waste Connections\Lansing\CCR Plan Final\Weekly Inspection Form 10_2015 > ls>

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SKB/DANSING LANDFILL

Weather Conditions: Yes No Notes CCR Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84) Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations? Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations. CCR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4)) Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required. Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust 5. suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill? 6_ If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation? 7. Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads? 8. Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below. Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below. 10. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question 11. Were the citizen complaints logged? Additional Notes:

Q:\Waste Connections\Lansing\CCR Plan Final\Weekly Inspection Form 10_2015 xlsx